IFW

AME 1 2000

PTO/SB/21 (09-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Application Number 10/600,808 TRANSMITTAL Filing Date June 20, 2003 **FORM** First Named Inventor Curtiss Renn Art Unit 1764 **Examiner Name** Basia Anna Ridley (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Attorney Docket Number **NPW 347** Total Number of Pages in This Submission **ENCLOSURES** (Check all that apply) After Allowance Communication to TC Fee Transmittal Form Drawing(s) Appeal Communication to Board Fee Attached Licensing-related Papers of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC X Petition Amendment/Reply (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Petition to Convert to a Proprietary Information After Final **Provisional Application** Power of Attorney, Revocation Affidavits/declaration(s) Change of Correspondence Address Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify Terminal Disclaimer **Extension of Time Request** below): Request for Refund **Express Abandonment Request** CD, Number of CD(s) Information Disclosure Statement Landscape Table on CD Certified Copy of Priority Remarks Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name Kolisch Hartwell, P.C. Signature Printed name David S. D'Ascenzo Date Reg. No. August 10, 2005 39,952 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below: Signature David S. D'Ascenzo Typed or printed name August 10, 2005

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Date: August 10, 2005

In re Application of:

CURTISS RENN

Serial No.

10/600,808

Group Art Unit: 1764

Filed

June 20, 2003

Examiner: Basia Anna Ridley

For

METHANOL STEAM REFORMING CATALYSTS,

STEAM REFORMERS, AND FUEL CELL SYSTEMS

INCORPORATING THE SAME

Mail Stop AMENDMENT Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Madam:

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

This is a response to the Restriction Requirement issued on July 26, 2005 in connection with the above-identified patent application. Claims 1-41 are presently pending in the application. In the Restriction Requirement, the Examiner identified three sets of patentably distinct species and has required Applicant to elect for initial examination one of the species in each set. As set forth in the Restriction Requirement, Species A relates to patentably distinct species (1-4) of catalyst, Species B relates to patentably distinct species (1-4) of fuel processing system, and Species C relates to patentably distinct species (1-3) of separation regions. Applicant understands that he has been requested to identify one of each set of species for

initial examination, but that the election of a particular species within a set shall not preclude consideration, due to that election, of other species from one of the other identified species.

In response, and without at this point traversing the propriety of negative limitations in the identified species in the context of a "comprising" claim, Applicant elects the below-discussed ones of the identified species.

Regarding Species A, Applicant understands that he must pick from one of the four identified species to be responsive to the Restriction Requirement. Accordingly, Applicant elects Species A-1 ("wherein the catalyst comprises zinc oxide, but does not comprise copper oxide"). Applicant submits that all pending claims read on or are generic to the elected species because none of the pending claims recite subject matter that precludes the inclusion of the elected species. However, Applicant also submits that most of the pending claims also are generic to the elected species because they also do not require the elected subject matter. For example, only claims 3, 25, and 35 specifically recite that the catalyst does not contain copper oxide (as contained in all of the identified species identified in the Restriction Requirement). Some of the claims, like claim 1, limit the amount of copper oxide that may be present, but none of the claims require the inclusion of copper oxide.

Regarding Species B, Applicant understands that he must pick from one of the four identified species to be responsive to the Restriction Requirement. Accordingly, Applicant elects Species B-2 ("wherein the fuel processing system

comprises a fuel reforming region and a separation region, but does not comprise a polishing region"). Applicant submits that only claim 17 recites any form of polishing region. Therefore, claims 1-16 and 18-41 are believed to read on or be generic to the elected species. Applicant understands that claim 17 will remain in the application and will be considered upon allowance of claim 1. Applicant also understands that claims that do not specifically recite a separation region will still be considered during initial examination because the claims do not preclude the inclusion of a separation region. Should the Examiner disagree, Applicant requests a telephone interview with the Examiner to discuss this requirement.

Regarding Species C, Applicant elects species C-1 ("wherein the separation region comprises [at least one] membrane"). Applicant submits that all pending claims read on or are generic to the elected species because the claims either affirmatively recite the inclusion of a separation region that includes at least one membrane or the claims neither require nor preclude the use of such a separation region. Even the claims that specifically recite the subject matter of one of the other identified species of separation region are believed to be generic to the identified species because the claims do not preclude the use of two or more different types of separation region, or subcomponents thereof. Furthermore, the specification specifically states that, while not required, this is possible without departing from the scope of the disclosure.

With the above species elections for initial examination, Applicant submits that all of the issues raised in the Restriction Requirement have been addressed. If the

Examiner has any questions or identifies any remaining issues that may be resolved in a telephone interview to advance prosecution of the present application, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicant's undersigned attorney at the number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

KOLISCH HARTWELL, P.C.

David S. D'Ascenzo

Registration No. 39,952

Customer No. 23581

Kolisch Hartwell, P.C.

520 S.W. Yamhill Street, Suite 200

Portland, Oregon 97204

Telephone: (503) 224-6655

Facsimile: (503) 295-6679